Initiatives inter-réseaux Networks: RRSV ThéCell Principal Investigator (RRSV): Principal Investigator (ThéCell): Co-investigator: Co-investigator: Co-investigator: Co-investigator: Co-investigator: Co-investigator: Please refer to the guidelines of the program for more details on each criterium. 1) Originality of the request and scientific merit of the project (40 points) 0 Comments: Clarity and testability of hypotheses (Originality of the hypotheses, clarity of the research question and clarity of scientific rationale; Feasibility of the methodological approach in the proposed financial framework.) /10 Realistic and well-defined objectives (Appropriate experimental design to attain objective; o Potential to create new knowledge.) /10 Methodology and analysis (Novelty of the approach, new methodologies, or new application of existing methodologies including participant recruitment, access to databases, laboratory methods or any other data collection method.) /20 2) Competence and complementarity of the research team (30 points): Comments: New collaboration, quality of research teams and multicentricity (The relevance of each Research Theme/Networks should be clearly identified in this section; Establishment of new intersectoral local or international collaborations; Scientific achievements, history of publication, funding and students training; Complementarity of the participating teams (e.g. how the expertise of each will be used to carry out the project).) /30 Integration of a Young investigator (e.g. eligible for Junior-1 of the FRQS) Importance given to the training of students Immediate involvement and future role of the knowledge user 3) Potential impact and structuring effect for research within (30) 0 Comments: Relevance, scientific or clinical impact of the project related to the participating networks and their themes (Immediate or potential impact of the proposed project on research within the networks, according to their objectives; Standardization of methods, establishment of a platform or common resources.) /20 Plan to obtain external funding for the project (Clarity and feasibility of the plan to obtain future or concomitant funding from sources external to SIDA-MI / ThéCell Networks (e.g. CIHR, foundations, private partners).) /10 4) Realism of the budget proposal (facultatif comments, no points) Comments: **Budget justification** (Relevance of the proposed use of the funds.) Global evaluation (/100)

!	Should this project be financed (> 70/100)? Please provide additional comments as necessary to support funding or rejection.