

## **EVALUATION GRID - 3**

Pilot Project for Early-Career Investigators 2023-2024 Vision Health Research Network Réseau thématique soutenu par le

Fonds de recherche Santé



<ul> <li>Awards and Grants :Funding Research History</li> <li>Duration and funds relative importance regarding the domain and the organization (principal applicant / co-investigator)</li> <li>Number of years for research scholars from provincial/national organization with peer-review committee since post-doctoral</li> </ul>	
studies  Number of years of grants by organizations with peer-review committee (as principal investigator, co-investigator,	/20
collaborator)	
Student / Scientific Staff Supervision  • Type of supervision: director or co-director	
Degree: M.Sc, Ph.D., Post-docorate	
Number	
Student scientific productivity	/10
Working Environment and Networking	
Availability of start-up funds	
Common equipment available	
Lab space available for the applicant	/10
Level of Interaction / Complementarity with on-site researchers	, 10
Scientific Productivity	
Number of abstracts (international, national and provincial contributions)	
<ul> <li>Articles Published / Accepted / In-press (number, as first author or other, quality of the journal, scientific outreach, publication continuity since graduated studies)</li> </ul>	
Patents	/15
Demonstrated / Potential ability of the applicant to publish as first author	7.0
Leadership and Scientific Outreach (provincial, national or international scope)	
As Invited Speaker by Research Centers / Institutes / Universities	
As Keynote Speaker / Moderator at Symposia / Meetings	
<ul> <li>Dissemination activities / Functions within recognized organizations (committee, network, associations)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Committee member (internal referee) for recognized granting agencies</li> </ul>	
Organization of Scientific meetings / Conference / Symposia	
Recognitions / Awards / Other Distinctions	
<ul> <li>Contribution of research to the methodological development of the discipline, to clinical practices and / or health policies</li> </ul>	/15
Description of the Intended Research	
Presentation is clear and concise	
Project rationale based on a logical integration of concepts	
<ul> <li>Are the Approaches / Methods / Working environment / Expertise / Experience / Financial support appropriate to lead and deliver the proposed output(s)</li> </ul>	
Contribution(s) to advancing health-related knowledge and/or health outcomes	
Is the project idea creative?  Are the timelines and related deliverables of the project reglictic?	
Are the timelines and related deliverables of the project realistic?      Methodology: Scientific value of Experimental / Theoretical approaches	/30
<ul> <li>Methodology: Scientific value of Experimental / Theoretical approaches</li> <li>Validity of the objectives with regards to the review of literature</li> </ul>	
	// 00
Total	/100
Comments	
Comments	